

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/613,495	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Pamela R. Schwartz	Art Unit 1794	

All Participants:

(1) Pamela R. Schwartz.

(2) Mr. Cataxinos.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

EP 0878319

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Since applicants' representative referred to the European reference by paragraph number, the examiner checked to make sure that we were working from the same translation. The translation referred to by applicants' representative was a machine translation of the description of the invention supplied by the examiner. Since a copy has not been scanned into the file, the examiner is including a copy with this office action and citing the translation on an 892 so that the translation is made of record.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

